Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/799,414	HOKE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
<u> </u>	Evelyn A. Lester	2873
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Evelyn A. Lester.	(3)	
(2) jason Webb (reg.no. 55,714).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 August 2006</u>	Time: approx. 1830 hrs. ES	<u>ST</u>
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-7,9-21		
Prior art documents discussed: Smith (U.S. Pat. 4991005); Harada et al (U.S. Pat. 5551021); Hill	(U.S. Pat. 5970471); Hatanaka (	U.S. Pat. 7012636)
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•		
-1 ( )		
Ell XISTE 8-16-06		
	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The prior art listed above was noted to the Applicant's representative. The Examiner suggested to incorporate the subject matter of claim 4 into independent claim 1, and the subject matter of claim 16 into independent claim 12, to place the application in better condition for allowance. Also, changes to the wording of claims 1 and 12 was needed to avoid a 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. Please note the Examiner's Amendment for actual changes agreed upon and made.

988

Ell Auto 8-16-06